

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

ENA RENE JOHNSON, *pro se*

v.

MARK ZUCKERBERG, FACEBOOK;
AND WARNER BROS. ENT.

§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:17-CV-00261-JRG-RSP


ORDER

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation filed by Magistrate Judge Payne, recommending that Ms. Johnson’s claims against all defendants be dismissed with prejudice. *See* Dkt. No. 30. For dispositive matters referred to a magistrate judge, the district court must “determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). “The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommend disposition.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Upon de novo review of the objected to portions of Judge Payne’s findings, conclusions, and recommendation, the Court finds no reason to reject or modify the recommended disposition.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED:**

- (1) Ms. Johnson’s objections, Dkt. Nos. 31 and 32, are **OVERRULED**.
- (2) The Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, Dkt. No. 30, is **ADOPTED**.
- (3) Mr. Zuckerberg’s motion to dismiss, Dkt. No. 24, is **GRANTED**.
- (4) Plaintiff’s motion to appoint counsel, Dkt. 21, is **DENIED**.
- (5) Plaintiff’s claims against all other defendants are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of November, 2017.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE